Risk Assessment Tool (version date 3.28.16)

Adapted from AGA

INSTRUCTIONS: This form is completed when considering entering into a <u>federal grant/contract</u> *subaward*. It may be completed by the Sponsored Research office or various sections may be completed by other offices/persons depending on expertise. If entering into a subaward with another PASSHE university, do not complete this risk assessment or a formal subaward agreement.

Subrecipient Name:
Date of Assessment
Program/Project:
Address of subrecipient:
Address of subrecipient:
Contact information (email address and telephone number):
QUICK RISK ASSESSMENT FOR SUBAWARD RENEWALS 1. Is the subrecipient's award less than or equal to \$1000 or 10% of the prime university award? Yes No
2. Is there a one-year, or longer, record of <i>exemplary past performance</i> (i.e. timely performance, all outcomes delivered, no financial discrepancies/problems) by the subrecipient and its staff on this <i>same</i> project/grant? Yes No Please describe:
3. If the answer to BOTH 1 and 2 is YES, review the subrecipient's Single Audit or financial statement and go to Section V .
GENERAL (CAPACITY) ASSESSMENT Completed by: NO responses indicate risk)
1. Has the subrecipient previously received grants from the federal government? YesNo
2. Has the subrecipient been timely in responding to program/fiscal requests, reports etc. ? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
3. Does the subrecipient have the required research compliance procedures? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS: a. If NO, has the Subrecipient agreed to follow the University's procedures? Yes No N/A COMMENTS:
4. If the University had past subawards with this subrecipient,

a. Has the subrecipient complied with general terms and conditions? Yes No N/A COMMENTS:
b. Has the subrecipient met expected performance goals? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
D. IVEC and a second indicate visit
B. (YES responses indicate risk)5. Is this program (grant) new for the subrecipient? Yes No N/A COMMENTS:
6. Are you aware if the subrecipient's faculty or staff assigned to the program are inexperienced with the program? (consult proposal bio sketches, resumes, cv's) Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
7. Is the subrecipient's scope of work unusually complex (e.g., program, funding, matching requirements)? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
8. Did the sponsor identify any concerns regarding the subrecipient during its merit review? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
9. Have any other entities (program offices, auditors, colleagues, etc.) alerted us of potential risk areas? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
10. Other areas of capacity assessment risk (entity-specific):
II. LEGAL ASSESSMENT Completed by: (Yes responses indicate risk)
1. Is the subrecipient currently or previously been suspended or debarred? (Search Records on the Federal System for Award Management www.sam.gov) Yes No N/A (Attach additional sheet if needed). COMMENTS:
2. Is the subrecipient an international institution/organization? Yes No N/ACOMMENTS: If YES, the subrecipient is automatically classified as high risk.
3. Other areas of legal assessment risk (entity-specific)
III. EINIANIGIAI QVOTENA AGGEGGNAEVE
III. FINANCIAL SYSTEM ASSESSMENT Completed by: (No responses indicate risk)
Is the subrecipient required to have a Single Audit? Yes No If Yes, search and access the subrecipient's audit at the Federal Audit Clearinghouse https://harvester.census.gov/fac/dissem/accessoptions.html and go to Section IV. If No, complete this section, using the subrecipient's responses on the Questions for a Prospective Subrecipient.

1. Will the subrecipient agree to adhere to Cost Accounting Standards Board regulations under the proposed
subcontract? YesNoCOMMENTS:
If NO, Does the subrecipient have a financial management system that provides records that can identify the source and application of funds for award-supported activities? Yes No COMMENTS:
source and application of funds for award-supported activities: TesNOCONNICTORS.
2. Does the subrecipient have a financial management system that provides for the control and accountability of
project funds, property, and other assets? Yes No N/A COMMENTS:
3. Does the subrecipient have a formal, written policy that addresses
a) Pay rates and benefits? Yes No COMMENTS:
b) Time and attendance? Yes No COMMENTS:
c) Leave? Yes No COMMENTS:
d) Discrimination? Yes No COMMENTS:
e) Nepotism? Yes No COMMENTS:
f) Conflict of interest? Yes No COMMENTS:
g) Travel? Yes No COMMENTS:
h) Purchasing? Yes No (See Endnote) COMMENTS:
4. Does the subrecipient have a method to support labor and benefit charges? Yes No COMMENTS:
5. Does the subrecipient maintain an inventory of government property that, at a minimum, identifies purchase date, cost, vendor, description, serial number, location, and ultimate disposition data? Yes No COMMENTS:
6. Other items of financial system assessment (entity-specific):
IV. OVERALL FISCAL ASSESSMENT Completed by:
(Yes responses indicate risk)
(Tes responses maleute risk)
1. Will this subrecipient receive a large proportion of this grant's funds?
Yes No N/ACOMMENTS:
2. If this subaward is a continuation or a renewal, have there been variations between expenditures and the
proposal budget (in the most recent annual report)?
Yes No N/A (large variations=higher risk) COMMENTS:
3. If this subaward is a continuation or a renewal has the subrecipient returned (lapsed) significant unspent
funds?
Yes No N/A COMMENTS:
4. Other items of overall fiscal assessment (entity-specific):

V. FINANCIAL STABILITY (AUDIT) ASSESSMENT Completed by: (Yes responses usually indicate risk)
To complete this section, search and access the subrecipient's audit at the Federal Audit Clearinghouse https://harvester.census.gov/fac/dissem/accessoptions.html
1. Has it been more than one year since the subrecipient completed a Single Audit? (No single audit=higher risk) Yes No N/A IF NO, WHY NOT?
2. If the subrecipient is not required to have a Single Audit, does the subrecipient have annual financial statements that have been reviewed or audited by an independent audit firm? Yes No COMMENTS <i>NO indicates risk</i>
A. For subrecipients with a federal Single Audit. N/A
 Does the subrecipient's federal Single Audit (in Financial Statements Summary) include— a) An audit opinion (audit "type") that is qualified/modified opinion, adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion? Yes No COMMENTS: b) A "going concern"? Yes No COMMENTS: c) A significant deficiency? (i.e. an internal control finding) Yes No COMMENTS: d) A Material weakness? (i.e. an internal control finding) Yes No COMMENTS: e) Any Non-compliance that is material to the financial statements? Yes No COMMENTS
2. Does the federal Single Audit (in Federal Programs Summary) indicate
 a) The auditee (subrecipient) qualifies as a low-risk auditee? Yes No COMMENTS: NO indicates risk b) There is a reportable condition disclosed for any major program? Yes No COMMENTS c) There is a reportable condition reported as a material weakness? Yes No COMMENTS d) There are known questioned costs reported? Yes No COMMENTS: e) Were prior audit finding related to direct federal funding shown in the Schedule of Prior Audit Findings? Yes No COMMENTS: Which agency/program? If yes, were the prior findings corrected?
B. For subrecipients with no Single Audit N/A Review the subrecipient's financial statements, including the auditor's opinion, the notes and management letter. The notes and "management letters" contain information and disclosures important to the understanding of the financial statements.
1. Is the auditor's opinion (i.e., audit "type")a) A qualified opinion (i.e. one or more areas do not comply with GAAP, or the audit was limited in scope)?Yes No COMMENTS:
b) An adverse opinion (material misstatements; as a whole does not conform to GAAP)? Yes No COMMENTS:

Endnote: University review of a subrecipient's purchases is allowed under 2 CFR 200.324 and may be a risk mitigation measure that is appropriate to certain subawards. For example, a procurement review may be appropriate when a high-risk subrecipient budgets a large proportion of the subaward for the purchase of equipment or consulting services. The following paraphrases 2 CFR 200.324. (continued on next page)

A. The University may request the subrecipient to provide technical specifications on proposed purchases when the University believes it's review is necessary to ensure that the item or service specified is consistent with the sponsorapproved proposal.

- B. The University may request the subrecipient to provide the complete procurement documents for review on proposed purchases when:
 - 1) The subrecipient's purchasing procedures do not comply with applicable the federal standards,
 - 2) The procurement is expected to exceed the current Simplified Acquisition Threshold (i.e. \$150,000 as of March 2016) and
 - a) the procurement is expected to be awarded without competition or to a single bidder or to the non-low bidder, or
 - b) the procurement documents specify a "brand name".
 - 3) A proposed contract modification changes the scope of a contract or increases the contract amount by more than the Simplified Acquisition Threshold.
- C. The subrecipient is exempt from the review in paragraph B above if the University determines that the subrecipient's procurement system complies with the federal standards, either by reviewing the subrecipient's system or by self-certification from the subrecipient.